

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6, 1445 ROSS AVENUE, DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

FILED

2013 FEB 12 AM 9: 50

DOCKET NO. <u>CWA-06-2013-4314</u>-1...4 GLTUK

On: December 10, 2012

At: Jirch Resources, LLC, McComb Lease Tank Battery, West of CR 4650, Foraker, Osage County, OK, 74652. Owned or operated by: Jirch Resources, LLC, 2526 East 71st Street, Ste A, Tulsa, OK, 74136 (Respondent).

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 USC \$1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR \$22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$950.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. The Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of

\$950.00, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," to: "USEPA, Fines & Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000," and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "Spill Fund-311" and the docket number of this case, "CWA-06-2013-4314."

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

Failure by the Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the Final Order in full by its due date may subject Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest,

attorney's fees, costs and an additional quarterly nonpayment penalty pursuant to Section 311(b)(6)(H) of the Act, 33 USC §1321(b)(6)(H). In any such collection action, the validity, amount and appropriateness of the penalty agreed to herein shall not be subject to review.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon EPA's filing of the document with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Date: 128/13

Robert R. Broyles
Associate Director
Prevention and Response Branch
Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): LANNY A. Woods

Title (print): Vice President

ignature Date: 2/1/2013

Estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is \$ 1000 ...

actingate: 2/11/3.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Carl E. Edlund, V.E.

Director

Superfund Division

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

Company Name	Docket Number:	
Jirch Resources, LLC	CWA -06-2013-4314 UNITED STATES	
Facility Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
McComb Lease Tank Battery	12/10/2012	
Address	Inspection Number	
2526 East 71st Street, Ste A	Date 12/10/2012 Inspection Number FY-INSP-Error! MergeField was not found in header record of data source.	
City:	Inspectors Name:	
Tulsa	Tom McKay	
State: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
OK 74136	Donald P. Smith	
Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:	
Mr. Nathan Buchanan (918) 287-2339	Nelson Smith (214)665-8489	
(Onshe	ore Oil Production Facilities) 3(a),(d),(e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) 00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,500.00.)	
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterme	easure Plan- //2.3\$1,500.00	

Plan not maintained on site (if facility is manned at least 4 hrs/day) or not available for review- 1/2.3(e)(1)300.00 No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in: design, construction, operation,

	Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference not provided- 112.7	150.00		
	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7	75.00		
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	200.00		
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	75.00		
	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers- 112.7(a)(3)(i)	50.00		
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures- 112.7(a)(3)(ii)	50.00		
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls- 112.7(a)(3)(iii)	50.00		
	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup- 112.7(a)(3)(in)50.00		
	Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements- 112.7(a)(3)(v)	50.00		
	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges- 112.7(a)(3)(vi)	50.00		
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	100.00		
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 1/2.7(a)(5)	150.00		
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	150.00		
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment (including truck transfer areas) 112.7(c)			
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:			
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan- 112.7(d)	100.00		
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	150.00		
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	150.00		
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d)	150.00		
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified- 112.7(a)(1)	75.00		
QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6				
	Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a)	450.00		
	Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements- 112.6(a)	100.00		
	Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified- 112.6(b)	150.00		
	Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements- 112.6(c)	100.00		
	Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE- 112.6(d)	350.00		

QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k) Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure d/or a discharge- 112.7(k)(2)(i)..... 150.00 Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)...... 150.00 OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.9(b) Drains for the secondary containment systems at tank batteries and separation and central treating areas are not closed and sealed at all times except when uncontaminated rainwater is being drained- 112.9(b)(1)600.00 Prior to drainage of diked areas, rainwater is not inspected, valves opened and reseated under Accumulated oil on the rainwater is not removed and returned to storage or disposed of Field drainage system (drainage ditches and road ditches), oil traps, sumps and/or skimmers are not OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.9(c) Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground Container material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the

Visual inspections of containers, foundation and supports are not conducted periodically

Bank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present- 112.9(c)(4)	450.00
 Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill- 112.9(c)(4)(i), or Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a computer control system- 112.9(c)(4)(iv). 	
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks- 112.7(a)(1)	75.00
 FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY 112.9(D)	
Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands 2 nd bodies, drip pans, pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box.)- 112.9(d)(1)	450.00
Brine and saltwater disposal facilities are not examined often- 112.9(d)(2)	450.00
Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion protection, flowline replacement)- 112.9(d)(3)	450.00
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of oil production facilities- 112.7(a)(1)	75.00
Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm Cri CFR Part- 112.20(e)	

TOTAL <u>\$950.00</u>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing "Consent Agreement and Final Order," issued pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 22.13(b), was filed on 2-12, 2013, with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733; and that on the same date a copy of the same was sent to the following, in the manner specified below:

NAME: Nathan Buchanan

ADDRESS: 2526 East 71st Street, Ste A

Tulsa, OK 74136

OPA Enforcement Administrative Assistant